

Consider the following optimization problem with a finite time horizon  $T > 0$ :

$$\max_{\{c_t\}_{t=0}^T} \sum_{t=0}^T \ln c_t \quad \text{s.t. } A_{t+1} = (A_t - c_t(1-\tau^c))(1+r)(1-\tau^w),$$

where  $r > 0$  - interest rate on assets  $A_t$ ,  $\tau^c \in (0, 1)$  - tax on consumption,  $\tau^w \in (0, 1)$  - tax on wealth. Assume  $A_0 > 0$  - given.

- Find the optimal time paths  $\{c_t\}_{t=0}^T$  and  $\{A_t\}_{t=0}^T$ .
- Identify the policy function and the value function.

- The problem satisfies the requirements of dynamic programming (e.g., time separability of the objective).
- We may proceed by dynamic programming  $\Rightarrow$  backward induction.
- Scheme:
  - ① - solve for  $T, T-1, T-2, \dots$
  - ② - identify a pattern
  - ③ - prove that the pattern characterizes the policy and value function
  - ④ - Solve for  $\{c_t\}, \{A_t\}$ .
- For  $t=T$  the problem is straightforward:

$$\max_{C_T} \{ \ln c_T \} \quad \text{s.t. } A_{T+1} \geq 0.$$

In optimum,  $A_{T+1} = 0 \Rightarrow A_T - c_T(1-\tau^c) = 0 \Rightarrow c_T = \underbrace{\frac{A_T}{1-\tau^c}}_{\text{policy fct.}}$ .

Hence,  $V_T(A_T) = \underbrace{\ln G(A_T)}_{\substack{\text{policy} \\ \text{fct}}} = \ln A_T - \ln(1-\tau^c).$

- For  $t=T-1$ :

Write down the Bellman equation,  $A_T = (A_{T-1} - C_{T-1}(1-\tau^c))(1+r)(1-\tau^w)$

$$V_{T-1}(A_{T-1}) = \max_{C_{T-1}} \left\{ \ln C_{T-1} + V_T(A_T) \right\} =$$

$$= \max_{C_{T-1}} \left\{ \ln C_{T-1} + \ln (A_{T-1} - C_{T-1}(1-\tau^c)) + \ln (1+r)(1-\tau^w) \right.$$

$$\left. - \ln (1-\tau^c) \right\}.$$

Maximizing the term in brackets we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{C_{T-1}} = \frac{1-\tau^c}{A_{T-1} - C_{T-1}(1-\tau^c)}$$

$$(1-\tau^c)C_{T-1} = A_{T-1} - (1-\tau^c)C_{T-1}$$

$$C_{T-1} = \underbrace{\frac{A_{T-1}}{2(1-\tau^c)}} \rightarrow \text{policy fct.}$$

Hence,  $V_{T-1}(A_{T-1}) = \ln \left[ \frac{A_{T-1}}{2(1-\tau^c)} \right] + \ln \left[ \frac{A_{T-1}}{2} \right] + \ln \left[ \frac{(1+r)(1-\tau^w)}{1-\tau^c} \right] =$

$$= 2 \ln A_{T-1} - \underbrace{2 \ln 2 - 2 \ln (1-\tau^c) + \ln (1+r)(1-\tau^w)}_{\text{call this constant term } "a_{T-1}"}.$$

- For  $t=T-2$ , fully analogous calculations yield

$$C_{T-2} = \frac{A_{T-2}}{3(1-\tau^c)}, \quad V_{T-2}(A_{T-2}) = 3 \ln A_{T-2} + \underbrace{a_{T-2}}_{\text{can be derived precisely, but is tedious.}}$$

- These results allow to hypothesise that for all  $t=0, \dots, T$ , the policy function is

$$c_t(A_t) = \frac{A_t}{(T-t+1)(1-\tau^c)},$$

and the value function is

$$V_t(A_t) = (T-t+1) \ln A_t + \alpha_t.$$

independent of any  $\{c_t\}, \{A_t\}$ .

- This hypothesis can be verified by induction, i.e., by exploiting the recursive character of the Bellman equation.

We obtain:

induction assumption

$$\begin{aligned} V_t(A_t) &= \max_{c_t} \left\{ \ln c_t + V_{t+1}(A_{t+1}) \right\} = \\ &= \max_{c_t} \left\{ \ln c_t + (T-t) \ln [A_t - c_t(1-\tau^c)] + (T-t) \ln (1+r)(1-\tau^r) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha_{t+1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Maximizing within brackets we get:

$$\frac{1}{c_t} = (T-t) \frac{1-\tau^c}{A_t - c_t(1-\tau^c)}$$

$$(T-t)(1-\tau^c)c_t = A_t - c_t(1-\tau^c)$$

$$c_t = \frac{A_t}{(T-t+1)(1-\tau^c)} \quad \text{(as assumed)}$$

And hence,

$$V_t(A_t) = \ln \left[ \frac{A_t}{(T-t+1)(1-\tau^c)} \right] + (T-t) \ln \left( \frac{T-t}{T-t+1} \right) A_t + \{\text{additional terms}\} =$$

$$= (T-t+1) \ln A_t + \alpha_t \quad \square$$

[The sequence  $\{\alpha_t\}$  could be identified precisely, but this requires tedious calculations and is not necessary for finding the optimal solution.]

- Inserting the policy function into the assets' equation of motion, we get

$$A_{t+1} = \left( \frac{T-t}{T-t+1} \right) A_t (1+r)(1-\tau^w).$$

- This implies that

$$A_1 = \frac{T}{T+1} A_0 (1+r)(1-\tau^w) \quad \text{and, by iterating the equation,}$$

$$\dots, A_t = \left( \frac{T}{T+1} \right) \left( \frac{T-1}{T} \right) \left( \frac{T-2}{T-1} \right) \dots \left( \frac{T-t+1}{T-t+2} \right) \cdot A_0 (1+r)^t (1-\tau^w)^t,$$

and so 
$$A_t = \left( \frac{T-t+1}{T+1} \right) A_0 (1+r)^t (1-\tau^w)^t.$$

- By the policy function,

$$C_t = \left( \frac{A_0}{T+1} \right) \cdot \frac{(1+r)^t (1-\tau^w)^t}{1-\tau^c}.$$

- The last two equations provide the explicit model solution.

### OBSERVATIONS:

- Consumption grows/declines geometrically  $\Leftrightarrow (1+r)(1-\tau^w) > 1$ .
- The formulas of the value and policy functions are not time-invariant (as  $t$  enters them directly). The model is not stationary, and the next-period's problem is different from the current period's problem (precisely because it is one period closer to the end of time).
- ! Wealth tax  $\tau^w$  affects the growth rate of consumption whereas consumption tax  $\tau^c$  only affects its level.
- Solving the model with Lagrangeans would lead to exactly the same optimal solution, but would not yield the policy function (which could only be derived posterior to optimization).
- Wealth tax  $\tau^w$  diminishes the effective return on assets. Consumption tax  $\tau^c$  doesn't have this effect.