#### Lagrange multipliers

Consider  $f^1, ..., f^k$  some  $C^1$  functions from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , where  $k \le n$ . Assume the set of constraints is regular, and let  $C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f^1(x) = ... = f^k(x) = 0\}.$ consider the problem

 $(P)\min_{x\in C}f(x)$ 

Then if  $\bar{x} \in C$  is a solution of (P) and f is differentiable at  $\bar{x}$ , then there exists some reals  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k$  such that:

$$\nabla f_{\bar{x}} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \nabla f_{\bar{x}}^i,$$

The coefficients  $\lambda_i$  are called Lagrange multipliers.

#### Lagrange multipliers

Consider  $f^1, ..., f^k$  some  $C^1$  functions from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , where  $k \le n$ . Assume the set of constraints is regular, and let  $C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f^1(x) = ... = f^k(x) = 0\}.$  consider the problem

 $(P)\max_{x\in C}f(x)$ 

Then if  $\bar{x} \in C$  is a solution of (P) and f is differentiable at  $\bar{x}$ , then there exists some reals  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k$  such that:

$$\nabla f_{\bar{x}} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \nabla f_{\bar{x}}^i,$$

The coefficients  $\lambda_i$  are called Lagrange multipliers.

Sometimes, some author write the necessary first order conditions  $\nabla \mathcal{L}(\bar{x}, \lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k) = 0$  where

$$\mathcal{L}(\bar{x},\lambda_1,...,\lambda_k) = f(\bar{x}) - \lambda_1 f^1(\bar{x}) - \dots - \lambda_k f^k(\bar{x})$$

is called the Lagrangian function.

You try to solve this sytem (with n + k unknown) to find **candidates** to be solution of the optimization problem.

(4 伊 ト 4 臣 ト 4 臣 ト

### Example 1: Minimize $2x^2 + y^2$ under the constraint x + y = 1.

(P) 
$$\max_{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = 1} x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_n$$

▲ 伊 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶

Geometric interpretation.

For a general reference on Lagrange Multipliers, see also Section 3.3. in Further MATHEMATICS FOR Economic Analysis.

- For constraints defined by inequalities and equalities, we can find similar lagrange multipliers (KKT theorem below), but the conditions are more complex.
- Again, the only difficulty is to be able to write the Normal cone, which, (again), requires Regularity conditions (see below).

Intuition when we have inequalities through an example

Consider

$$C = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : g(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1 \le 0\}.$$

Then for every  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in C$ ,

$$N_C(\bar{x},\bar{y}) = \{\mu \nabla g_{(\bar{x},\bar{y})}, \mu \ge 0\}$$

and

$$T_C(\bar{x},\bar{y}) = \{h \in \mathbf{R}^2 : \nabla g_{(\bar{x},\bar{y})} \cdot h \le 0\}.$$

A⊒ ► < ∃ ►

Difference with equality ?

#### KKT (Karush, Kuhn and Tucker) Theorem

Consider  $f^1, ..., f^k, g^1, ..., g^m$  some  $C^1$  functions from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , where  $k \le n$ . Assume the set of constraints satisfies **regularity (also called qualification constraints)** constraints we will see after.

Let  $C = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : f^1(x) = ... = f^k(x) = 0, g^1(x) \le 0, ..., ...g^m(x) \le 0\}.$ consider the problem

$$(P)\min_{x\in C}f(x)$$

Then if  $x^* \in C$  is a solution of (P) and f is differentiable at  $x^*$ , then there exists some reals  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k, \mu_1, ..., \mu_m$  such that:  $(i) \nabla f_{\bar{x}} + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \nabla f_{\bar{x}}^i + \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j \nabla g_{\bar{x}}^j = 0,$   $(ii) \forall j = 1, ..., m, \mu_j \ge 0$  (Positivity of multiplicators associated to inequalities)  $(iii) \forall j = 1, ..., m, [\mu_j = 0 \text{ or } g^j(\bar{x}) = 0].$  (Each inequality constraint is binded or the associated multiplicator is null)  $(iv) \forall i = 1, ..., k, f^i(\bar{x}) = 0.$  (Equality constraints satisfied!)  $(v) \forall j = 1, ..., m, g^j(\bar{x}) \le 0.$  (Inequality constraints satisfied!)

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

3

#### KKT (Karush, Kuhn and Tucker) Theorem

Consider  $f^1, ..., f^k, g^1, ..., g^m$  some  $C^1$  functions from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , where  $k \le n$ . Assume the set of constraints satisfies **regularity (also called qualification constraints) constraints** we will see after. Let  $C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f^1(x) = ... = f^k(x) = 0, g^1(x) \le 0, ..., ..., g^m(x) \le 0\}$ .

consider the problem

$$(P)\max_{x\in C}f(x)$$

Then if  $x^* \in C$  is a solution of (P) and f is differentiable at  $x^*$ , then there exists some reals  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k, \mu_1, ..., \mu_m$  such that: (i) $\nabla f_{\bar{x}} - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \nabla f_{\bar{x}}^i - \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j \nabla g_{\bar{x}}^j = 0$ , (ii) $\forall j = 1, ..., m, \mu_j \ge 0$ (iii) $\forall j = 1, ..., m, \mu_j .g^j(\bar{x}) = 0$ . (iv) $\forall i = 1, ..., k, f^i(\bar{x}) = 0$ . (v) $\forall j = 1, ..., m, g^j(\bar{x}) \le 0$ .

ヘロト 人間 ト 人造 ト 人造 トー

Intuitively, regularity conditions are conditions on the constraints so that we have a nice formula for the normal cone, which allows to have the "simple" KKT condition.

**Condition 1** A first possible condition that is enough to get KKT theorem is **Slater's condition** 

### Slater's condition

Consider  $f^1, ..., f^k, g^1, ..., g^m$  some  $C^1$  functions from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , where  $k \le n$ . Slater's conditions are true if: (i) All  $g^j$  are convex. (ii) All  $f^i$  are affine. (iii) There exists  $\tilde{x}$  feasible point (i.e. it satisfies the constraints) such that for every j such that  $g^j$  is not affine, we have  $g^j(\tilde{x}) < 0$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

A second possible condition for which KKT theorem is true are the following **regularity's conditions** 

Regularity (or qualification) conditions for system of equalities together with inequalities

The set of constraints defined by  $f^1 = ... = f^k = 0, g^1 \le 0, ..., g^m \le 0$  is regular if (1)  $k + m \le n$ , and (2) for every  $\bar{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $f^1(\bar{x}) = ... = f^k(\bar{x}) = 0, g^1(\bar{x}) \le 0, ..., g^m(\bar{x}) \le 0$ , the  $n \times (k + m)$  matrix whose columns are  $\nabla f_{\bar{x}}^1, ..., \nabla f_{\bar{x}}^k, \nabla g_{\bar{x}}^1, ..., \nabla g_{\bar{x}}^m$ , has a rank m + k.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Example of use of KKT.

$$(P)\min_{x+y\leq 3,-2x+y\leq 2}x^2 - 4x + y^2 - 6y$$